

## Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 082520  
ORIGIN IO-03

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /004 R

DRAFTED BY IO/IBC/SC:JDFOX:MFW  
APPROVED BY IO/SA:ESWOPE  
-----131143Z 049291 /12  
R 130229Z APR 77  
FM SECSTATE WASHDC  
TO AMEMBASSY PARIS

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE STATE 082520

PARIS FOR NESCO

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: UN, AORG

SUBJECT: FIFTH COMMITTEE: QUESTION OF THE CONTINUATION OF  
THE JOINT INSPECTION UNIT

THE FOLLOWING IS A REPEAT OF STATE 281587 DATED NOVEMBER 16,  
1976. PLEASE REPEAT TO ABOVE ADDRESSEE.

1. IN CONSIDERING THE QUESTION OF THE CONTINUATION OF THE  
JOINT INSPECTION UNIT (JIU), WE EXPECT FIFTH COMMITTEE  
ACTION TO CENTER ON THE ACABQ DRAFT STATUTE FOR THE JIU  
INCLUDED AS AN ANNEX TO THE REPORT OF THE ADVISORY COMMIT-  
TEE (A/31/325). WITH THE IMPORTANT EXCEPTIONS NOTED BELOW,  
USDEL SHOULD SUPPORT THE ACABQ DRAFT. DRAWING IN A CON-  
STRUCTIVE MANNER ON THE JIU DRAFT (A/31/89) AND THE DRAFT  
OF THE SYG (A/31/75/ADD.1), THE ACABQ DRAFT STATUTE PRO-  
VIDES A GOOD BASIS FOR ESTABLISHING THE JIU ON A PERMANENT  
BASIS.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 STATE 082520

2. DURING DISCUSSION OF THIS ITEM, USDEL SHOULD INDICATE  
STRONG U.S. SUPPORT FOR CONTINUING AND IMPROVING THE JIU  
AS A MAJOR INSTRUMENT FOR STRENGTHENING THE PERFORMANCE

OF THE UNITED NATIONS SYSTEM IN CARRYING OUT ITS PROGRAM  
OBJECTIVES. USDEL SHOULD INDICATE OUR PARTICULAR CONCERN  
FOR THE ROLE THAT THE JIU CAN PLAY IN REGARD TO EXTERNAL  
EVALUATION. IN MAKING THIS POINT, USDEL MAY AS APPROPRIATE  
DRAW ON THE FOLLOWING:

A. AN ESSENTIAL ELEMENT OF PROGRAM BUDGETING IS EFFECTIVE MONITORING OF PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION AND APPRAISAL OF PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS.

B. A MECHANISM IS NEEDED TO FURNISH THE INTERGOVERNMENTAL ORGANS AND MEMBER STATES WITH INFORMATION ON PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AND EXECUTION AND ON PROGRESS TOWARD ACHIEVING PROGRAM OBJECTIVES.

C. SUCH A MECHANISM WOULD MEASURE AND REPORT UPON THE EXTENT TO WHICH PROGRAM OBJECTIVES ARE ACHIEVED, THE IMPACT OF PROGRAMS IN RELATION TO THE OVERALL DEVELOPMENT EFFORTS OF WHICH THEY ARE A PART, AND THE EFFECTIVENESS AND EFFICIENCY OF THE UN BODIES RESPONSIBLE FOR PROGRAM EXECUTION.

D. SUCH A MECHANISM SHOULD BE COMPLETELY INDEPENDENT OF THE SECRETARIATS OF THE UN AGENCIES.

E. SUCH A MECHANISM SHOULD HAVE BROAD AUTHORITY AND RESPONSIBILITY TO PROVIDE GOVERNING BODIES OF THE AGENCIES, AND MEMBER GOVERNMENTS IN GENERAL, WITH INFORMATION RESULTING FROM SELECTIVE REVIEWS OF THE EXTENT TO WHICH MAJOR PROGRAMS ARE ACHIEVING THEIR STATED OBJECTIVES IN AN EFFECTIVE, EFFICIENT AND ECONOMICAL MANNER.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 STATE 082520

F. THE SELECTIVE REVIEWS SHOULD BE CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH A TIME-PHASED PLAN THAT WOULD, BASED ON AN AGREED ASSIGNMENT OF PRIORITIES, PROVIDE FOR CONTINUOUS SYSTEMATIC COVERAGE OVER THE FULL RANGE OF ALL SIGNIFICANT PROGRAMS.

G. THE REPORTS FOR EVALUATION PURPOSES SHOULD INCLUDE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CORRECTIVE MEASURES TO IMPROVE THE EXECUTION OF PROGRAMS CONSISTENT WITH THE CHARTERS ESTABLISHING UN AGENCIES AND WITH GOVERNING BOARD POLICIES.

H. IN CONDUCTING THE PROCESS WE ARE SUGGESTING, THE JIU COULD BE GUIDED BY EVALUATION STANDARDS AND PROCEDURES SUCH AS THE USDEL CIRCULATED TO THE WORKING GROUP ON UNITED NATIONS PROGRAM AND BUDGET MACHINERY (A/AC.173/L.2)

I. PROVISION SHOULD BE MADE FOR SYSTEMATIC FOLLOW-UP REPORTING ON ACTIONS TAKEN TO IMPLEMENT APPROVED RECOMMENDATIONS.

J. EVEN THE FULL ESTABLISHMENT OF THE EXTERNAL EVALUATION PROCESS THAT WE ARE SUGGESTING WOULD NOT RPT. NOT SUBSTITUTE FOR THE NECESSARY INTERNAL EVALUATION RESPONSIBI-

LITIES OF THE EXECUTIVE HEADS OF THE UN ORGANIZATIONS.  
HOWEVER, WE BELIEVE EXTERNAL EVALUATION COULD ASSIST IN  
IMPROVING THESE INTERNAL PROCEDURES BY CONDUCTING COMPARA-  
TIVE STUDIES THROUGHOUT THE SYSTEM.

K. WE ARE NOT RPT. NOT TALKING ABOUT A DECISION-MAKING  
PROCESS. RATHER, WE ARE TALKING ABOUT A PROCESS TO ASSIST  
RESPONSIBLE DECISION-MAKING BY THE APPROPRIATE INTERGOVERN-  
MENTAL BODIES.

L. THE PROCESS WE ARE SUGGESTING WOULD NOT RPT. NOT IN-  
FRINGE ON NATIONAL DECISION-MAKING REGARDING THE CONTENT  
OF PROGRAMS OR THE PRIORITIES THAT ARE ASSIGNED. RATHER  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 04 STATE 082520

WE ARE SUGGESTING A MEANS TO CHECK ON THE EXTENT TO WHICH  
AGREED PROGRAMS AND PRIORITIES ARE EXECUTED IN THE MOST  
EFFECTIVE, EFFICIENT AND ECONOMICAL MANNER.

M. A CAPACITY FOR PROVIDING MEMBER GOVERNMENTS WITH OB-  
JECTIVE AND SYSTEMATIC REPORTS ON PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION  
COULD STRENGTHEN CONFIDENCE IN INDIVIDUAL COMPONENTS OF  
THE SYSTEM AND IN THE SYSTEM AS A WHOLE.

3. THE MOST IMPORTANT CHANGE THAT USDEL SHOULD SEEK IN  
THE ACABQ DRAFT STATUTE CONCERNS ARTICLE 5.2. IN THE  
FOURTH LINE, USDEL SHOULD SEEK TO ADD THE WORDS QUOTE  
AND AT ITS OWN INITIATIVE UNQUOTE BEFORE THE COMMA FOLLOW-  
ING QUOTE BODIES UNQUOTE AND, IN THE FIFTH LINE, TO  
DELETE THE WORDS QUOTE AT THE REQUEST OF THE EXECUTIVE  
HEADS. UNQUOTE. COMMENT: WE BELIEVE THE JIU COULD MOST  
EFFECTIVELY ASSIST INTERGOVERNMENTAL BODIES IN FULFILLING  
THEIR RESPONSIBILITIES FOR EXTERNAL EVALUATION IF IT WERE  
ABLE TO EXERCISE IT OWN DISCRETION IN PERFORMING SELECTIVE  
REVIEWS OF PROGRAM EXECUTION.

4. ANOTHER IMPORTANT AMENDMENT CONCERNS ARTICLE 20. USDEL  
SHOULD SEEK TO REPLACE THE SECOND SENTENCE BY THE FOLLOWING:  
QUOTE THE UNIT SHALL SUBMIT ITS BUDGET ESTIMATES TO ACC  
FOR INITIAL REVIEW. THE CHAIRMAN OF ACC SHALL TRANSMIT  
THE ESTIMATES, TOGETHER WITH THE COMMENTS OF ACC, TO ACABQ.  
THE PROPOSED BUDGET, TOGETHER WITH REPORT THEREON BY ACABQ,  
SHALL THEN BE SUBMITTED TO THE UN GENERAL ASSEMBLY FOR

CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL. THE UNIT SHALL BE INVITED TO  
BE REPRESENTED AT DISCUSSIONS OF ITS BUDGET AT ACC, ACABQ  
AND THE GA OF THE UNITED NATIONS. UNQUOTE. COMMENT: WE  
BELIEVE IT IMPORTANT TO SUPPORT THE INDEPENDENCE OF THE  
JIU FROM THE SECRETARIAT. THIS AMENDMENT WOULD PROVIDE  
THAT THE UNIT'S OWN BUDGET ESTIMATES WOULD BE PRESENTED  
TO THE GA FOR CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL, WHILE STILL

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 05 STATE 082520

ALLOWING THE SECRETARY-GENERAL, THE ACC AND THE ACABQ TO COMMENT ON THEM AND ASSURE THAT THEY ARE JUSTIFIED.

5. USDEL SHOULD SEEK TO AMEND ARTICLE 12 OF THE ACABQ DRAFT BY ADDING THE FOLLOWING SENTENCE AT THE END: QUOTE THE UNIT MAY ALSO PREPARE SUCH A REPORT ON ITS OWN INITIATIVE. UNQUOTE. COMMENT: ASSURING THAT THE UNIT WOULD BE FREE TO EXERCISE ITS OWN DISCRETION REGARDING FOLLOW-UP REPORTS ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF APPROVED RECOMMENDATIONS SHOULD HELP TO ASSURE THAT THE APPROVED RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE UNIT WOULD BE TAKEN MORE SERIOUSLY.

6. WE WOULD SUGGEST REWRITING ARTICLE 3.1 OF THE ACABQ DRAFT ALONG FOLLOWING LINES: QUOTE THE APPOINTMENT OF INSPECTORS SHALL BE MADE IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER: (A) THE PRESIDENT OF THE GA SHALL, COMMENCING WITH THE 31ST SESSION OF THE GA AND AS REQUIRED THEREAFTER, DRAW UP, WITH DUE REGARD TO THE NEED FOR EQUITABLE GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION AND -ONTINUITY IN THE MEMBERSHIP OF THE UNIT, A LIST OF COUNTRIES THAT WOULD BE REQUESTED TO PROPOSE CANDIDATES; (B) THE SECRETARY-GENERAL SHALL REQUEST EACH OF THE COUNTRIES SELECTED BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE GA TO NOMINATE A CANDIDATE, OR PREFERABLY A PANEL OF CANDIDATES, FOR AN INSPECTOR'S POST. THE SECRETARY-GENERAL, IN CONSULTATION WITH OTHER MEMBERS OF THE ACC AND THE ACABQ, SHALL APPOINT AN INSPECTOR FROM EACH OF THE DESIGNATED COUNTRIES. UNQUOTE. COMMENT: WE BELIEVE THAT THIS AMENDMENT WOULD EMPHASIZE THAT THE UNIT REPRESENTS THE INTERESTS OF THE MEMBER GOVERNMENTS. ALSO BY NOT CALLING FOR A CONFIRMATION OF THEIR CHOICE BY THE GA, OUR SUGGESTED AMENDMENT SEEKS TO AVOID HAVING THE SELECTION OF INSPECTORS BECOME POLITICIZED. HOWEVER, IF USDEL BELIEVES THAT USE OF THE ACABQ LANGUAGE WOULD PROVIDE THE BEST CHANCE FOR AVOIDING A REQUIREMENT FOR A ROTATION OF GOVERNMENTS WITH NATIONALS SERVING ON THE JIU, USDEL SHOULD NOT PROPOSE THE SUGGESTED AMENDMENT. WE COULD NOT  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 06 STATE 082520

SUPPORT A ROTATION SCHEME THAT PERIODICALLY WOULD RESULT IN NO U.S. NATIONAL SERVING ON THE JIU.

7. WE NOTE THAT THE DRAFT STATUTE, ARTICLE 19 IN PARTICULAR, INCLUDES NO PROVISION EXPLICITLY AUTHORIZING THE

UNIT TO EMPLOY SUCH EXPERTS OR CONSULTANTS AND AUXILIARY STAFF AS IT DEEMS NECESSARY. WE ASSUME THAT THE ABSENCE

OF SUCH A PROVISION WOULD NOT PRECLUDE THE USE OF SUCH EXPERTS OR CONSULTANTS AND AUXILIARY STAFF WHICH WE BELIEVE WOULD BE AN IMPORTANT MEANS FOR THE UNIT TO FULFILL ITS EXTERNAL EVALUATION FUNCTION.

8. USDEL SHOULD EXPLORE THE POSSIBILITY OF AMENDING ARTICLE 18 BY ADDING AT THE END OF THE FIRST SENTENCE THE FOLLOWING WORDS: QUOTE WHO MAY BE RE-ELECTED. UNQUOTE COMMENT: PRESENT PRACTICE CALLS FOR THE ANNUAL ROTATION OF THE CHAIRMANSHIP AMONG JIU MEMBERS. WE BELIEVE THE JIU SHOULD BE ENCOURAGED TO KEEP A CHAIRMAN IN OFFICE LONGER IN ORDER TO PROVIDE GREATER LEADERSHIP AND COHERENCE IN JIU OPERATIONS. HOWEVER, THIS IS NOT A MATTER THAT SHOULD BE PURSUED. AT THE RISK OF OTHER MORE IMPORTANT AMENDMENTS.  
VANCE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 STATE 082520  
ORIGIN IO-13

INFO OCT-01 AF-10 EUR-12 ISO-00 /036 R

66011

DRAFTED BY IO/IBC/SC:JDFOX:MFW

APPROVED BY IO/IBC/SC-JDFOX

-----141434Z 066015 /45

R 131955Z APR 77

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY NAIROBI

AMEMBASSY VIENNA

USMISSION IAEA VIENNA

AMCONSUL MONTREAL

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE STATE 082520

MONTREAL FOR ICAO ROME FOR FODAG VIENNA FOR UNIDO  
LONDON FOR SHIPPING ATTACHE, NAIROBI FOR UNEP

FOL REPEAT STATE 82520 ACTION PARIS DTD 13 APR.

QUOTE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE STATE 082520

PARIS FOR NESCO

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: UN, AORG

SUBJECT: FIFTH COMMITTEE: QUESTION OF THE CONTINUATION OF  
THE JOINT INSPECTION UNIT  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 STATE 082520

THE FOLLOWING IS A REPEAT OF STATE 281587 DATED NOVEMBER 16,  
1976. PLEASE REPEAT TO ABOVE ADDRESSEE.

1. IN CONSIDERING THE QUESTION OF THE CONTINUATION OF THE  
JOINT INSPECTION UNIT (JIU), WE EXPECT FIFTH COMMITTEE  
ACTION TO CENTER ON THE ACABQ DRAFT STATUTE FOR THE JIU  
INCLUDED AS AN ANNEX TO THE REPORT OF THE ADVISORY COMMIT-  
TEE (A/31/325). WITH THE IMPORTANT EXCEPTIONS NOTED BELOW,  
USDEL SHOULD SUPPORT THE ACABQ DRAFT. DRAWING IN A CON-  
STRUCTIVE MANNER ON THE JIU DRAFT (A/31/89) AND THE DRAFT  
OF THE SYG (A/31/75/ADD.1), THE ACABQ DRAFT STATUTE PRO-  
VIDES A GOOD BASIS FOR ESTABLISHING THE JIU ON A PERMANENT  
BASIS.

2. DURING DISCUSSION OF THIS ITEM, USDEL SHOULD INDICATE  
STRONG U.S. SUPPORT FOR CONTINUING AND IMPROVING THE JIU  
AS A MAJOR INSTRUMENT FOR STRENGTHENING THE PERFORMANCE

OF THE UNITED NATIONS SYSTEM IN CARRYING OUT ITS PROGRAM  
OBJECTIVES. USDEL SHOULD INDICATE OUR PARTICULAR CONCERN  
FOR THE ROLE THAT THE JIU CAN PLAY IN REGARD TO EXTERNAL  
EVALUATION. IN MAKING THIS POINT, USDEL MAY AS APPROPRIATE  
DRAW ON THE FOLLOWING:

A. AN ESSENTIAL ELEMENT OF PROGRAM BUDGETING IS EFFECTIVE  
MONITORING OF PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION AND APPRAISAL OF  
PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS.

B. A MECHANISM IS NEEDED TO FURNISH THE INTERGOVERNMENTAL  
ORGANS AND MEMBER STATES WITH INFORMATION ON PROGRAM  
MANAGEMENT AND EXECUTION AND ON PROGRESS TOWARD ACHIEVING  
PROGRAM OBJECTIVES.

C. SUCH A MECHANISM WOULD MEASURE AND REPORT UPON THE EX-  
TENT TO WHICH PROGRAM OBJECTIVES ARE ACHIEVED, THE IMPACT  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 STATE 082520

OF PROGRAMS IN RELATION TO THE OVERALL DEVELOPMENT EFFORTS  
OF WHICH THEY ARE A PART, AND THE EFFECTIVENESS AND  
EFFICIENCY OF THE UN BODIES RESPONSIBLE FOR PROGRAM EXECU-  
TION.

D. SUCH A MECHANISM SHOULD BE COMPLETELY INDEPENDENT OF THE SECRETARIATS OF THE UN AGENCIES.

E. SUCH A MECHANISM SHOULD HAVE BROAD AUTHORITY AND RESPONSIBILITY TO PROVIDE GOVERNING BODIES OF THE AGENCIES, AND MEMBER GOVERNMENTS IN GENERAL, WITH INFORMATION RESULTING FROM SELECTIVE REVIEWS OF THE EXTENT TO WHICH MAJOR PROGRAMS ARE ACHIEVING THEIR STATED OBJECTIVES IN AN EFFECTIVE, EFFICIENT AND ECONOMICAL MANNER.

F. THE SELECTIVE REVIEWS SHOULD BE CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH A TIME-PHASED PLAN THAT WOULD, BASED ON AN AGREED ASSIGNMENT OF PRIORITIES, PROVIDE FOR CONTINUOUS SYSTEMATIC COVERAGE OVER THE FULL RANGE OF ALL SIGNIFICANT PROGRAMS.

G. THE REPORTS FOR EVALUATION PURPOSES SHOULD INCLUDE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CORRECTIVE MEASURES TO IMPROVE THE EXECUTION OF PROGRAMS CONSISTENT WITH THE CHARTERS ESTABLISHING UN AGENCIES AND WITH GOVERNING BOARD POLICIES.

H. IN CONDUCTING THE PROCESS WE ARE SUGGESTING, THE JIU COULD BE GUIDED BY EVALUATION STANDARDS AND PROCEDURES SUCH AS THE USDEL CIRCULATED TO THE WORKING GROUP ON UNITED NATIONS PROGRAM AND BUDGET MACHINERY (A/AC.173/L.2)

I. PROVISION SHOULD BE MADE FOR SYSTEMATIC FOLLOW-UP REPORTING ON ACTIONS TAKEN TO IMPLEMENT APPROVED RECOMMENDATIONS.

J. EVEN THE FULL ESTABLISHMENT OF THE EXTERNAL EVALUATION  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 04 STATE 082520

PROCESS THAT WE ARE SUGGESTING WOULD NOT RPT. NOT SUBSTITUTE FOR THE NECESSARY INTERNAL EVALUATION RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE EXECUTIVE HEADS OF THE UN ORGANIZATIONS. HOWEVER, WE BELIEVE EXTERNAL EVALUATION COULD ASSIST IN IMPROVING THESE INTERNAL PROCEDURES BY CONDUCTING COMPARATIVE STUDIES THROUGHOUT THE SYSTEM.

K. WE ARE NOT RPT. NOT TALKING ABOUT A DECISION-MAKING PROCESS. RATHER, WE ARE TALKING ABOUT A PROCESS TO ASSIST RESPONSIBLE DECISION-MAKING BY THE APPROPRIATE INTERGOVERNMENTAL BODIES.

L. THE PROCESS WE ARE SUGGESTING WOULD NOT RPT. NOT INFRINGE ON NATIONAL DECISION-MAKING REGARDING THE CONTENT OF PROGRAMS OR THE PRIORITIES THAT ARE ASSIGNED. RATHER WE ARE SUGGESTING A MEANS TO CHECK ON THE EXTENT TO WHICH AGREED PROGRAMS AND PRIORITIES ARE EXECUTED IN THE MOST EFFECTIVE, EFFICIENT AND ECONOMICAL MANNER.

M. A CAPACITY FOR PROVIDING MEMBER GOVERNMENTS WITH OBJECTIVE AND SYSTEMATIC REPORTS ON PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION COULD STRENGTHEN CONFIDENCE IN INDIVIDUAL COMPONENTS OF THE SYSTEM AND IN THE SYSTEM AS A WHOLE.

3. THE MOST IMPORTANT CHANGE THAT USDEL SHOULD SEEK IN THE ACABQ DRAFT STATUTE CONCERNS ARTICLE 5.2. IN THE FOURTH LINE, USDEL SHOULD SEEK TO ADD THE WORDS QUOTE AND AT ITS OWN INITIATIVE UNQUOTE BEFORE THE COMMA FOLLOWING QUOTE BODIES UNQUOTE AND, IN THE FIFTH LINE, TO DELETE THE WORDS QUOTE AT THE REQUEST OF THE EXECUTIVE HEADS. UNQUOTE. COMMENT: WE BELIEVE THE JIU COULD MOST EFFECTIVELY ASSIST INTERGOVERNMENTAL BODIES IN FULFILLING THEIR RESPONSIBILITIES FOR EXTERNAL EVALUATION IF IT WERE ABLE TO EXERCISE IT OWN DISCRETION IN PERFORMING SELECTIVE REVIEWS OF PROGRAM EXECUTION.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 05 STATE 082520

4. ANOTHER IMPORTANT AMENDMENT CONCERNS ARTICLE 20. USDEL SHOULD SEEK TO REPLACE THE SECOND SENTENCE BY THE FOLLOWING: QUOTE THE UNIT SHALL SUBMIT ITS BUDGET ESTIMATES TO ACC FOR INITIAL REVIEW. THE CHAIRMAN OF ACC SHALL TRANSMIT THE ESTIMATES, TOGETHER WITH THE COMMENTS OF ACC, TO ACABQ. THE PROPOSED BUDGET, TOGETHER WITH REPORT THEREON BY ACABQ, SHALL THEN BE SUBMITTED TO THE UN GENERAL ASSEMBLY FOR

CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL. THE UNIT SHALL BE INVITED TO BE REPRESENTED AT DISCUSSIONS OF ITS BUDGET AT ACC, ACABQ AND THE GA OF THE UNITED NATIONS. UNQUOTE. COMMENT: WE BELIEVE IT IMPORTANT TO SUPPORT THE INDEPENDENCE OF THE JIU FROM THE SECRETARIAT. THIS AMENDMENT WOULD PROVIDE THAT THE UNIT'S OWN BUDGET ESTIMATES WOULD BE PRESENTED TO THE GA FOR CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL, WHILE STILL ALLOWING THE SECRETARY-GENERAL, THE ACC AND THE ACABQ TO COMMENT ON THEM AND ASSURE THAT THEY ARE JUSTIFIED.

5. USDEL SHOULD SEEK TO AMEND ARTICLE 12 OF THE ACABQ DRAFT BY ADDING THE FOLLOWING SENTENCE AT THE END: QUOTE THE UNIT MAY ALSO PREPARE SUCH A REPORT ON ITS OWN INITIATIVE. UNQUOTE. COMMENT: ASSURING THAT THE UNIT WOULD BE FREE TO EXERCISE ITS OWN DISCRETION REGARDING FOLLOW-UP REPORTS ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF APPROVED RECOMMENDATIONS SHOULD HELP TO ASSURE THAT THE APPROVED RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE UNIT WOULD BE TAKEN MORE SERIOUSLY.

6. WE WOULD SUGGEST REWRITING ARTICLE 3.1 OF THE ACABQ DRAFT ALONG FOLLOWING LINES: QUOTE THE APPOINTMENT OF INSPECTORS SHALL BE MADE IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER: (A) THE PRESIDENT OF THE GA SHALL, COMMENCING WITH THE 31ST SES-



SION OF THE GA AND AS REQUIRED THEREAFTER, DRAW UP, WITH  
DUE REGARD TO THE NEED FOR EQUITABLE GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRI-  
BUTION AND -ONTINUITY IN THE MEMBERSHIP OF THE UNIT, A  
LIST OF COUNTRIES THAT WOULD BE REQUESTED TO PROPOSE  
CANDIDATES; (B) THE SECRETARY-GENERAL SHALL REQUEST EACH  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 06 STATE 082520

OF THE COUNTRIES SELECTED BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE GA TO  
NOMINATE A CANDIDATE, OR PREFERABLY A PANEL OF CANDIDATES,  
FOR AN INSPECTOR'S POST. THE SECRETARY-GENERAL, IN CON-  
SULTATION WITH OTHER MEMBERS OF THE ACC AND THE ACABQ,  
SHALL APPOINT AN INSPECTOR FROM EACH OF THE DESIGNATED  
COUNTRIES. UNQUOTE. COMMENT: WE BELIEVE THAT THIS  
AMENDMENT WOULD EMPHASIZE THAT THE UNIT REPRESENTS THE  
INTERESTS OF THE MEMBER GOVERNMENTS. ALSO BY NOT CALLING  
FOR A CONFIRMATION OF THEIR CHOICE BY THE GA, OUR  
SUGGESTED AMENDMENT SEEKS TO AVOID HAVING THE SELECTION  
OF INSPECTORS BECOME POLITICIZED. HOWEVER, IF USDEL  
BELIEVES THAT USE OF THE ACABQ LANGUAGE WOULD PROVIDE THE  
BEST CHANCE FOR AVOIDING A REQUIREMENT FOR A ROTATION OF  
GOVERNMENTS WITH NATIONALS SERVING ON THE JIU, USDEL  
SHOULD NOT PROPOSE THE SUGGESTED AMENDMENT. WE COULD NOT  
SUPPORT A ROTATION SCHEME THAT PERIODICALLY WOULD RESULT  
IN NO U.S. NATIONAL SERVING ON THE JIU.

7. WE NOTE THAT THE DRAFT STATUTE, ARTICLE 19 IN PARTI-  
CULAR, INCLUDES NO PROVISION EXPLICITLY AUTHORIZING THE

UNIT TO EMPLOY SUCH EXPERTS OR CONSULTANTS AND AUXILIARY  
STAFF AS IT DEEMS NECESSARY. WE ASSUME THAT THE ABSENCE  
OF SUCH A PROVISION WOULD NOT PRECLUDE THE USE OF SUCH  
EXPERTS OR CONSULTANTS AND AUXILIARY STAFF WHICH WE  
BELIEVE WOULD BE AN IMPORTANT MEANS FOR THE UNIT TO FULFILL  
ITS EXTERNAL EVALUATION FUNCTION.

8. USDEL SHOULD EXPLORE THE POSSIBILITY OF AMENDING  
ARTICLE 18 BY ADDING AT THE END OF THE FIRST SENTENCE THE  
FOLLOWING WORDS: QUOTE WHO MAY BE RE-ELECTED. UNQUOTE  
COMMENT: PRESENT PRACTICE CALLS FOR THE ANNUAL ROTATION  
OF THE CHAIRMANSHIP AMONG JIU MEMBERS. WE BELIEVE THE  
JIU SHOULD BE ENCOURAGED TO KEEP A CHAIRMAN IN OFFICE  
LONGER IN ORDER TO PROVIDE GREATER LEADERSHIP AND  
COHERENCE IN JIU OPERATIONS. HOWEVER, THIS IS NOT A  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 07 STATE 082520

MATTER THAT SHOULD BE PURSUED. AT THE RISK OF OTHER MORE  
IMPORTANT AMENDMENTS.  
VANCE

UNQUOTE VANCE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 22-Sep-1999 12:00:00 am  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Sent Date:** 13-Apr-1977 12:00:00 am  
**Decaption Date:** 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 22 May 2009  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1977STATE082520  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** IO/IBC/SC:JDFOX:MFW  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Expiration:**  
**Film Number:** D770127-1332  
**Format:** TEL  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1977/newtext/t197704103/baaaevrp.tel  
**Line Count:** 492  
**Litigation Code IDs:**  
**Litigation Codes:**  
**Litigation History:**  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM  
**Message ID:** a3253e9c-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Office:** ORIGIN IO  
**Original Classification:** LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 9  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Retention:** 0  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 19-Nov-2004 12:00:00 am  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**SAS ID:** 2668651  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** FIFTH COMMITTEE: QUESTION OF THE CONTINUATION OF THE JOINT INSPECTION UNIT  
**TAGS:** AORG, UN  
**To:** PARIS  
**Type:** TE  
**vdkgvwkey:** odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/a3253e9c-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Review Markings:**  
Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
22 May 2009  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009